



Weekly Bulletin

week ending July 21, 2023

Most Recent Topics Covered in PMFList

Antibiotic Media Testing

Microbial Challenge Test

USP <62> absence of specified organisms

Interpretation of USP <5>, <610>, and <1111> for low-content INDP

Most Recent Content Added to PMF Website

FDA Regulatory Actions

most recent: <http://www.microbiologyforum.org/content/file/Regulatory%20Actions/RA%20June%202022%202023.pdf>

Compendial Updates

most recent: <http://www.microbiologyforum.org/content/file/Compendial%20Updates/CU%20June%202021%202023.pdf>

Articles/White Papers

most recent: http://www.microbiologyforum.org/content/file/White%20Papers/Trend%20Analysis_2.pdf

Most Recent Content Added to PMF Website

YouTube Videos

most recent: Micro Lab GMP Requirements [<https://youtu.be/cyy-TkFolpQ>]

E-Books

most recent: (addresses PMFList EM Q&A): <http://www.microbiologyforum.org/content/file/E-Books/EM%20ebbok%201.pdf>

Events

most recent: [Pharmig Events \(see note at linked page\)](#) [1]

Sponsors page

most recent: Icons and sponsor information added to webpage <http://www.microbiologyforum.org/sponsors.asp>

PMF “Cartoons” [[click here](#)]

This week: looks at harmonization of USP <51>.

New Subscribers: 1

PMF Sponsorship Information and General Information (click link)

<http://www.microbiologyforum.org/content/file/PMF%20Sponsorship%202023%20April%208%202023.pdf>

New Sponsor(s)

no new sponsors this week

Thank You, Current Sponsors!



<http://www.acciusa.com/>



<http://www.microbiologics.com>



<https://ntint.com/>



<https://www.rapidmicrobio.com>

Thank You, Current Sponsors!

STERIS®



<http://www.sterislifesciences.com/>



VELTEX ASSOCIATES, INC.

<http://www.sterile.com>

Giles Scientific, Inc.

Special Process Services, LC

PMF “Cartoons”



A number of USP general chapters relevant to microbiology have been harmonized, including, for example, chapters <61>, <61>, <71> and <85>. Why hasn't <51> Antimicrobial Effectiveness Testing been harmonized? Which of the following possible answers do you think would be suitable?

1. Chemical testing has replaced the need to do the testing in <51>, so nobody cares.
2. There has never been any interest in harmonizing this chapter.
3. The requirements in the EP for acceptance are too minimal compared to the USP requirements.
4. Passage requirements in the EP would cause certain products currently acceptable in the USP to become misbranded and/or adulterated.
5. None of the above. My answer would be.....

Feel free to email serious or humorous answers you might like to have posted in next week's PMF Weekly Bulletin, with or without attribution (your call) to:

dporter@microbiologyforum.org